

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,311	01/11/2006	Hidehito Kotani	BY0027P	7112	
	7590 10/04/200	0/04/2007 EXAMINER			
MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000			BOWMAN, AMY HUDSON		
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
					
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/564,311	KOTANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amy H. Bowman	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/11/2 This action is FINAL 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,5-14 and 18-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1, 5-14 and 18-21 are subject to restri	vn from consideration.	t.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim 1, drawn to a method of evaluating compounds which are effective for treatment or prevention of obesity comprising: a step in which a test compound is administered to or contacted with a test animal or a test cell and a step in which it is confirmed whether or not said test compound regulates expression levels of LCE gene or a gene which is functionally equivalent to said gene.

Group II, claim 1, drawn to a method of evaluating compounds which are effective for treatment or prevention of obesity comprising: a step in which a test compound is contacted with a test animal or a test cell possessing a fusion gene comprising an expression regulatory region of LCE gene and a reporter gene and a step in which expression of said reporter gene is assayed.

Group III, claim 1, drawn to a method of evaluating compounds which are effective for treatment or prevention of obesity comprising: a step in which a test compound is contacted with LCE protein and a step in which it is confirmed whether or not said test compound exhibits an effect on the activity of said protein.

Group IV, claim 1, drawn to a method of evaluating compounds which are effective for treatment or prevention of obesity comprising: a step in which a test compound is contacted with a plurality of elongase proteins including LCE, a step in which the activities of said plurality of elongase proteins are assayed and a step in which test compounds are selected which inhibit LCE activity among said plurality of elongase proteins.

Group V, claim 5, drawn to an agent for treatment or prevention of obesity which contains as an active ingredient a compound obtained by an evaluation method according to claim 1.

Group VI, claims 6-13, drawn to a methods comprising inhibiting LCE fatty acid synthesis activity, wherein the method inhibits LCE fatty acid synthesis by RNAi.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,311 Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

Election of this group requires further election of two complementary siRNA sequences from claims 8, 9, 12 or 13, as explained below.

Group VII, claim 14, drawn to a method of examining obesity by assaying an expression level and a change in expression level of LCE gene in a test tissue or a test cell.

Group VIII, claim 14, drawn to a method of examining obesity by assaying an expression level and a change in expression level of LCE protein in a test tissue or a test cell.

Group IX, claim 14, drawn to a method of examining obesity by detecting a polymorphism in LCE gene in a test tissue or a test cell.

Group X, claim 14, drawn to a method of examining obesity by detecting expression or activity of a protein which affects expression of LCE gene through interaction with LCE protein.

Group XI, claims 18-21, drawn to a siRNA consisting of nucleic acids SEQ ID NOs: 23 and 24, an LCE expression inhibiting agent comprising the siRNA, a fatty acid synthesis inhibiting agent comprising the siRNA, and a therapeutic or preventing agent for obesity comprising the siRNA,

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

37 CFR 1.475(b) states:

"An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn **only** to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- 37 CFR 1.475(c) states:

Art Unit: 1635

"If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combination of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present."

37 CFR 1.475(d) also states:

"If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c)."

37 CFR 1.475(e) further states:

"The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternative within a single claim."

This is interpreted to mean that the product must be the first claimed invention in order to have unity of invention with a process/process(s). In the instant case, claim 1 is a process claim and thus cannot have unity of invention with the products.

Furthermore, the instant case does not fall into any one of the only 5 combinations of categories which can have unity of invention as defined by 37 CFR 1.475(b) because

the claims are directed to multiple processes and products.

Additionally, the claims recite a multitude of siRNA sequences. According to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(a) of Annex B of the PCT Administrative Instructions, the special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 shall be considered to be met when all the alternatives of a Markush-group are of similar nature. For chemical alternatives, such as the claimed sequences, the Markush group shall be regarded as being of similar nature when

(A) all alternatives have a common property or activity and; (B)(1) a common structure is present, i.e., a significant structure is shared by all of the alternatives; or (B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be

Art Unit: 1635

the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to an art-recognized class of compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

The instant sequences are considered to be each separate inventions for the following reasons: The sequences do not meet the criteria of (A), common property or activity or (B)(2), art recognized class of compounds. The sequences each behave in a different way in the context of the claimed invention. Each member of the class cannot be substituted, one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved. Further, the sequences do not meet the criteria of (B)(1), as they do not share, one with another, a common core structure. Each of the sequences comprises a separate and distinct sequence of nucleotides, each targeting a specific region of a target sequence. Accordingly, unity of invention between the siRNA sequences is lacking and each sequence claimed is considered to constitute a special technical feature. Therefore, upon election of group VI, applicant is required to elect one complementary pair of siRNA sequences for examination.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1635

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy H. Bowman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1635

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amy H. Bowman/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1635